

Rule(s) Review Checklist Addendum (This form must be filled out electronically.)

This form is to be used only if the rule(s) was/were previously reviewed, and has/have not been amended/repealed subsequent to that review.

All responses	shou	ld be in bold format.			
Document Reviewed (include title):			WAC 458-20-158 Florists and Nurserymen		
Date last reviewed:			March 22, 2000		
Current Reviewer:			JoAnne Gordon		
Date current r	eviev	v completed:	November 5, 2002		
Is this document being reviewed at this time because of a taxpayer or association request? (If "YES", provide the name of the taxpayer/association and a brief explanation of the issues raised in the request). YES \(\subseteq\) NO \(\subseteq\)					
Type an "x" in the column that most correctly answers the question, and provide clear, concise, and complete explanations where needed.					
1. Briefly des	cribe	the subject matter of the r	ule(s):		
WAC 458-20-158 explains the application of the business and occupation (B&O) and retail sales and use taxes to transactions involving florists and nurserymen.					
2. Related statutes, interpretive statements, court decisions, BTA decisions, and WTDs: (Excise Tax Advisories (ETAs), Property Tax Advisories (PTAs), Property Tax Bulletins (PTBs) and Audit Directives (ADs) are considered interpretive statements.)					
YES N	Ю				
	X	Are there any statutory chan that should be incorporated?	ges subsequent to the previous review of this rule		
	X	Are there any interpretive st this rule that should be income	atements not identified in the previous review of rporated? (An Ancillary Document Review bleted for each and submitted with this completed		

Are there any interpretive statements that should be repealed because the information is currently included in this or another rule, or the information is incorrect or not needed? (An Ancillary Document Review Supplement should

Are there any Board of Tax Appeal (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or

Are there any administrative decisions (e.g., Appeals Division decisions

Attorney Generals Opinions (AGOs) subsequent to the previous review of this rule that provide information that should be incorporated into this rule?

be completed for each and submitted with this completed form.)

X

 \mathbf{X}

 \mathbf{X}

form.)



	(WTDs)) subsequent to the previous review of this rule that provide information that should be incorporated into the rule?	
X Are there any changes to the recommendations in the previous review of this		
	rule with respect to any of the types of documents noted above? (An	
	Ancillary Document Review Supplement should be completed if any changes	
	are recommended with respect to an interpretive statement.)	

If the answer is "yes" to any of the questions above, identify the pertinent document(s) and provide a <u>brief</u> summary of the information that should be incorporated into the document.

3. Additional information: Identify any additional issues (other than that noted above or in the previous review) that should be addressed or incorporated into the rule. Note here if you believe the rule can be rewritten and reorganized in a more clear and concise manner.

The rule's discussion about sales of fruit and nut trees, berry slips, or vines to farmers by nurseries should incorporate a reference to WAC 458-20-122 (Sales of feed, seed, fertilizer, spray materials, and other tangible personal property for farm use).

4. LISTING OF DOCUMENTS REVIEWED: The reviewer need identify only those documents that were not listed in the previous review of the rule(s). (Use "bullets" with any lists, and include documents discussed above. Citations to statutes, interpretive statements, and similar documents should include titles. Citations to Attorneys General Opinions (AGOs) and court, Board of Tax Appeals (BTA), and Appeals Division (WTD) decisions should be followed by a brief description (i.e., a phrase or sentence) of the pertinent issue(s).)

Statute(s) Implemented: None

Interpretive statements (e.g., ETAs and PTAs): None

Court Decisions: None

Board of Tax Appeals Decisions (BTAs): None

Administrative Decisions (e.g., WTDs): None


Attorney General's Opinions (AGOs): None

Other Documents (e.g., special notices or Tax Topic articles, statutes or regulations administered by other agencies or government entities, statutes, rules, or other documents that were reviewed but were not specifically relevant to the subject matter of the document being reviewed):

None

4. Review Recommendation:

X Amend





Repeal (Appropriate when repeal is not conditioned upon another rule-making action.)
 Leave as is (Appropriate even if the recommendation is to incorporate the current information into another rule.)
 Begin the rule-making process for possible revision. (Applies only when the Department has received a petition to revise a rule.)

Explanation of recommendation: Provide a brief summary of your recommendation, whether the same as or different from the original review of the document(s). If this recommendation differs from that of the previous review, explain the basis for this difference.

If recommending that the rule be amended, be sure to note whether the basis for the recommendation is to:

- Correct inaccurate tax-reporting information now found in the current rule;
- Incorporate legislation;
- Consolidate information now available in other documents (e.g., ETAs, WTDs, court decisions); or
- Address issues not otherwise addressed in other documents (e.g., ETAs, WTDs, court decisions).

Rule 158 should be amended for the reasons discussed in the previous rule review.

The rule's discussion about the collection of retail sales tax by florists with respect to telegraphic deliveries may be affected if various provisions related to the national Streamlined Sales Tax Project (SSTP) are enacted into law. While there is a need to amend Rule 158, this reviewer recommends waiting until the final results of the SSTP are known.

5.	Manager action:	Date:
	Reviewed and	accepted recommendation
An	nendment priority:	
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	